



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,326 08/04/2003		Shu Lei	5306P107	6782	
8791	1 7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	CHOW, CH	CHOW, CHIH CHING		
12400 WILSI SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2192		

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/	634,326	LEI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit						
		Chil	n-Ching Chow	2192						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 									
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PT e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (P 	TO-152)					

Art Unit: 2192

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to amendment dated September 19, 2005.
- 2. Per Applicants' request, independent claims 1, 3-19, and 21-28 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-28 remain pending.
- 4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/19/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicants' arguments for Claims 1-28 have been fully considered respectfully by the examiner but they are not persuasive. Applicants primarily argued that "Dardinski never discloses ordynamically generating user interface display code at run time" (REMARKS, page 9, last paragraph page 10, 1st paragraph), which has been addressed in the final action mailed June 16, 2005 (see pp.2-3). Other arguments are being addressed at the currently amended claims accordingly below (underline-indicated).
- 6. Examiner is maintaining the 35 USC § 102, and 35 USC § 103 Rejections. For the Applicants' convenience they are listed as following, with the amendments requested by the Applicants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2192

8. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 19-21, 23-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski").

CLAIM

- 1. A method comprising:
- (a) receiving a configuration for a user interface of an application;
- (b) determining a set of <u>configuration</u> parameters corresponding to the configuration; and
- (c) dynamically generating user interface display code at run time, the user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.

Dardinski

In Dardinski, column 15, lines 54-56, under 1.1.3, "The Parameter Definition Editor is an interface which allows Parameter Definitions to be created for a Parameterized Object." - here the 'parameterized object' can be the user interface display code for an user interface of an application, the parameters are used to configure the user interface, e.g. the position, size, and other attributes. For item (c), See Dardinski's column 35, lines 40-45, "An Implementation-standard Appearance Definition object may be overridden by a User-Defined Appearance Definition object at runtime to produce customized displays and printouts to satisfy unique customer documentation requirements" dynamically display customer required information. Dardinski also teaches the parameterized objects include user interface display code. - See Dardinski's column 98, lines 48-53, "2.4.3.12 PLB **Block**

Referring to FIG. 98 this class contains standard Block methods and manages the parameters associated with PLB Blocks. Source code, interpretive code, and operator display code for the ladder are generated by the PLB Ladder editor and stored as Block parameters." And lines 60-67,

"2.4.3.13 Temporary Source Code
This is a parameter which stores the logic
source code of the associated Ladder while

Art Unit: 2192

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

transmitting the user interface display code to a client digital processing system in response to a request to access the application. it is being edited and before it is successfully compiled. The PLB Ladder Editor presents the contents of this parameter for editing (display code based upon configuration parameters) until it is successfully compiled, at which time the contents are copied into the Last Compiled Source Code parameter and deleted from this parameter."

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. In Dardinski, column 108, lines 48-49, "The Download Agents rely on Download Servers to transmit the information to the target systems (client digital processing system)." - the parameters can be transmitted to the client(s), the client/server transmitting needs to via Internet. The Dardinski's teaching not only teaches transmitting the information about the progress but also transmits user interface display code to a client digital processing system, see Figure 50, and description in column 55, lines 23-33, "FIG. 50 (which reads chronologically from bottom to top) illustrates an object undergoing various edits, each edit establishing a new version of the original object (original request). The object is created (version 1.0), and is then downloaded (Download A) to the target, synchronizing the online image with the offline. The user then makes a change to the object in an offline edit session, then performs a check-in, which creates version 2.0. At this point, the online and the offline images are out of sync. Another offline edit produces version 3.0, which is then downloaded to the target (Download B), and the images fall in sync again." wherein the 'object' can be 'display code',

Art Unit: 2192

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the configuration for the user interface is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each of the one or more objects selected in a desired location of a free-form grid layout.

5. The method of claim $\frac{1}{3}$, wherein the one or more objects are selected using a user input device and each selected object each of the one or more objects selected is positioned by dragging the object to a desired location of the free-form grid layout.

6. The method of claim 1 5, <u>further</u> <u>comprising</u>: <u>wherein positioning an object</u> <u>in a desired location of a free-form grid layout includes</u> indicating a desired size for <u>the each of the one or more</u> objects selected.

which is currently being edited; the 'downloading' is 'transmitting' to a client digital processing system.

For the feature of claim 1 see claim 1 rejection. In Dardinski, FIG. 38 depicts a sheet template editor in a system according to the invention; also in Dardinski, column 100, lines 1-3, "The user interface is provided as an IDA Grid Editor view (free-form grid layout)."

For the feature of claim 3 see claim 3 rejection. See Dardinski, column 17, lines 42-45, "each instance of the Object Type hierarchy which serves as a reference for a Typed Object requires a definition reference to the defining Parameterized Object (position can be included) which defines that Typed Object. This relationship provides quick access to the definition object when a symbolic representation of that definition is dragged and dropped (dragging the object to a desired location) into a view." in order to do the drag and drop, there must be a user input device (possibly a mouse) to do it. -- Dardinski's 'symbolic representation' is a definition of an object; 'dragging and dropping the symbolic representation' has the same function as 'dragging and dropping the object' to a desired location.

For the feature of claim 5 see claim 5 rejection. See Dardinski, column 3, lines 25-27, "The placeholder objects identify the sizes, locations, colors, etc., of the icons used in the editor to represent the configurable objects."

Art Unit: 2192

7. The method of claim ± 6 , wherein indicating a desired size for each of the one or more objects selected includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the free-form grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 6 see claim 6 rejection. For the rest of the feature of claim 7, see claim 5, and 6 rejections. Also, see Dardinski's column 24, lines 44-51, "The endpoints relate the Connection to the Parameter Override to (or from) which the Connection is attached. Endpoints also relate the Connection to the position (side/direction, or center) where the Connection is attached to the object. Each Connection Endpoint is described by two coordinates, the side of the object it is on, and the relative position of the endpoint along the side of the rectangle representing the parameterized object. (perimeter can be produced from these parameters) This allows the endpoint to retain its relative position along the side, even if the object is resized." - (for drag and drop, you need to select first location then to a second location) the object can be resized to a desired size.

9. The method of claim 4 3, wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of configuration parameters includes information indicating the a position of each of the one or more objects in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 3 see claim 3 rejection. See FIG. 38, it contains a plurality of grid cells. The parameters are discussed in claim 1 rejection.

11. A system comprising:

a server digital processing system having a storage device to store, the storage containing a set of configuration parameters corresponding to a configuration of a user interface of an application;

one or more client digital processing systems coupled to the server digital processing system capable of requesting

In Dardinski, Figure 40, Dardinski's disclosure has database to store the configuration parameters. Again in Dardinski column 108, lines 48-49, "The Download Agents rely on Download Servers to transmit the information to the target systems" – this implies that the server will transmit the requested application to the client systems. Also see claim 1 rejection.

Art Unit: 2192

access to the application such that the request causes the server digital processing system a processor coupled to the storage device to dynamically generate user interface display code at run time in response to a request from a client device to access the application, the user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.

- 13. The system of claim 11, wherein the configuration is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each of the one or more objects selected in a desired location of a free-form grid layout.
- For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of claim 13 feature, see claim 5 rejection.
- 14. The system of claim 11 13, wherein positioning each of the one or more an objects selected in a the desired location of a the free-form grid layout includes indicating a desired size for the each of the one or more objects selected.

For the feature of claim 13 see claim 13 rejection. For the rest of claim 14 feature, see claim 5 and 6 rejections.

15. The system of claim 11 14, wherein indicating a the desired size for the each of the one or more objects selected includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the free-form grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 14 see claim 14 rejection. For the rest of claim 15 feature, see claim 5 rejection (for drag and drop, you need to select first location then to a second location).

17. The system of claim 11 13, wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of configuration parameters includes information indicating the a position of each of the one or more objects selected in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 13 see claim 13 rejection. See Figure 38, in Dardinski's disclosure, it allows user to enter more than on grid cells and the set of selected parameters is recorded.

19. A machine-readable medium that provides instructions, which when executed

For item (a), see Darkinski, Figure 11, and column 15, lines 62-64, "a generic view

Art Unit: 2192

by a processing system, cause the processing system to perform a method comprising:

- (a) accessing a generic layout file for a user interface of an application, the generic layout file having a free-form grid layout and a set of objects;
- (b) creating a configuration for a user interface of an application;
- (c) determining a set of configuration parameters corresponding to the configuration; and
- (d) dynamically generating user interface display code at run time, the user interface display code based upon the set of configuration parameters.
- 20. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 further comprising:

transmitting the user interface display code to a client digital processing system in response to a request to access the application.

- 21. The machine-readable medium of claim 19, wherein the configuration for the user interface is determined by selecting one or more objects and positioning each of the one or more objects selected in a desired location of a free-form grid layout.
- 23. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 21, wherein the one or more objects are selected using a user input device and each selected of the one or more objects selected is positioned by dragging the object to a desired location of the free-form grid layout.
- 24. The machine-readable medium of claim For the feature of claim 23 see claim 23 19 23, wherein the method further

pane which the application programmer can use for just about anything--e.g., a graphical "canvas", or a grid control able to display data in a spreadsheet-like format". For item (b)-(d), see claim 1 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 20 feature, see claim 2 rejection.

For the feature of claim 19 see claim 19 rejection. For the rest of claim 21 feature, see claim 3 rejection.

For the feature of claim 21 see claim 21 rejection. For the rest of claim 23 feature, see claim 5 rejection.

rejection. For the rest of claim 24 feature,

Art Unit: 2192

comprises wherein positioning an object in a desired location of a free-form grid layout includes indicating a desired size for the each of the one or more objects selected.

see claim 6 rejection.

25. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 24, wherein indicating a desired size for the each of the one or more objects selected includes selecting a perimeter of the object at a first location on the free-form grid layout and dragging the perimeter to a second location on the free-form grid layout.

For the feature of claim 24 see claim 24 rejection. For the rest of claim 25 feature, see claim 7 rejection.

27. The machine-readable medium of claim 19 21, wherein the free-form grid layout comprises a plurality of grid cells and the set of configuration parameters includes information indicating the a position of each of the one or more objects in reference to one or more of the plurality of grid cells.

For the feature of claim 21 see claim 21 rejection. For the rest of claim 27 feature, see claim 9 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 4, 8, 12, 16, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski"), in view of applicant's admission of prior art (hereinafter "current applicant").

Art Unit: 2192

CLAIM

4. The method of claim 2, wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text hypertext markup language (HTML) code.

8. The method of claim 4, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

12. The system of claim 11, wherein the

Dardinski / Current Applicant

For the feature of claim 2 see claim 2 rejection. Dardinski' teaches all aspects of claim 4, but does not mention the 'HTML' specifically. However, Current Applicant teaches this feature in the BACKGROUND (paragraph 0003) of the current application , "The application is created using an authoring language (e.g. HTML) that defines the structure and layout of the application UI. ... Typical web-based applications are presented using a client/server programming model. In such a model, an application provider provides the application on a server digital processing system ('DPS'), and an end-user of the application access the application via a client DPS. For example, for web-based applications, the server DPS houses a program that provides requested HTML to a client DPS when requested." - the using of HTML is not novel and patentable. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Dardinski disclosure of the free-form grid editor by utilizing the 'HTML' concept taught by Current Applicant for the purpose of defining the structure and layout of the application user interface. (see paragraph 0003 of current application).

For the feature of claim 4 see claim 4 rejection. Since the html defines the structure and the layout of an application, it's definitely dynamically generated based upon configuration parameters and an origin of the request (also see claim 1, 2, 5, 7 rejections).

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11

Art Unit: 2192

client digital processing system device is coupled to the server digital processing system processor through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text hypertext markup language (HTML) code.

rejection. For the rest of the feature see claim 2 and 4 rejections.

16. The system of claim 14 12, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the a request to access the application.

For the feature of claim 12 see claim 12 rejection. For the rest of claim 16 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

22. The machine-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text hypertext mark up language (HTML) code.

For the feature of claim 20 see claim 20 rejection. For the rest of claim 22 feature, see claim 4 rejection.

26. The machine-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

For the feature of claim 22 see claim 22 rejection. For the rest of claim 26 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

11. Claims 4, 8, 12, 16, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski"), in view of Microsoft Dictionary 3rd edition, 1997 (hereinafter "Dictionary").

Art Unit: 2192

CLAIM

4. The method of claim 2, wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hypertext hypertext markup language (HTML) code.

- 8. The method of claim 4, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.
- 12. The system of claim 11, wherein the client digital processing system device is coupled to the server digital processing system processor through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text hypertext markup language (HTML) code.

16. The system of claim 14 12, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the a request to access the application.

Dardinski / Dictionary

For the feature of claim 2 see claim 2 rejection. Dardinski' teaches all aspects of claim 4, but does not mention the 'HTML' specifically. However, HTML is a wellknown skill to the people in the art, see Dictionary page 238 "HTML" definitions. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Dardinski disclosure of the free-form grid editor by utilizing the 'HTML' concept taught by Dictionary for the purpose of "using tags to mark elements, such as text and graphics, in a document to indicate how Web browsers should display these elements to the users." (see page 238, 5th item).

For the feature of claim 4 see claim 4 rejection. Since the html defines the structure and the layout of an application, it's definitely dynamically generated based upon configuration parameters and an origin of the request (also see claim 1, 2, 5, 7 rejections).

For the feature of claim 11 see claim 11 rejection. For the rest of the feature see claim 2 and 4 rejections.

For the feature of claim 12 see claim 12 rejection. For the rest of claim 16 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

Art Unit: 2192

22. The machine-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the request is communicated through the Internet and the user interface display code is hyper text hypertext mark up language (HTML) code.

For the feature of claim 20 see claim 20 rejection. For the rest of claim 22 feature, see claim 4 rejection.

26. The machine-readable medium of claim 22, wherein the hyper text markup language HTML code is dynamically generated based upon the set of configuration parameters and based upon an origin of the request.

For the feature of claim 22 see claim 22 rejection. For the rest of claim 26 feature, see claim 8 rejection.

12. Claims 10, 18, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,754,885 by Steven Dardinski (hereinafter "Dardinski"), in view of . US2004/0117773 by Pascal Nicolle (hereinafter "Nicolle").

CLAIM

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the set of configuration parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells, a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

Dardinski / Nicolle

For the feature of claim 9 see claim 9 rejection. See Dardinski, Abstract, "The invention provides improved apparatus for configuring process, environmental, industrial and other control systems. Such apparatus employs "appearance" objects (or other data and/or programming constructs) defining the appearance of configurable system components in graphical editors or other views in which the components may be depicted. "Placeholder" objects (or other constructs) persist the location, size, color, or other aspects of appearance defined by an appearance object for a configurable component in views in which it is actually depicted." Also in Dardinski, column 37, lines 60-65, "the Sheet Template is drawn first, as a type of background, then the Placeholder objects associated with the document is superimposed upon the drawing surface.

Art Unit: 2192

The Sheet Template, which is used during printing and/or print preview, is userselectable from the Page Setup dialog." In Dardinski, column 43, lines 12-17, "Each Sheet Template object contains a reference to one or more representations (grid cells, grid coordinate) of Graphical Objects, via instances of the Abstract Placeholder class. Placeholders are used to provide the mechanism for persistent storage of the placement of various objects in the Sheet Template." Dardinski teaches all aspects of claim 10, but does not mention the 'grid coordinate' specifically. However, Nicolle teaches that feature in an analogous art. In Nicolle, paragraph 042, "Graphic coordinates are related to the position of objects in the grid of rows and columns representing a graphic." It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to supplement Dardinski disclosure of the free-form grid editor by utilizing the 'coordinates' concept taught by Nicolle, for the purpose of representing a graphic (see Nicolle, end of paragraph 42). - Nicolle teaches the deficiency of Dardinski for claim 10.

18. The system of claim 17 wherein the set of <u>configuration</u> parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells, a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

For the feature of claim 17 see claim 17 rejection. For the reset of the feature see claim 10 rejection.

28. The machine-readable medium of claim 27, wherein the set of <u>configuration</u> parameters includes a grid coordinate specifying one of the plurality of grid cells,

For the feature of claim 27 see claim 27 rejection. For the rest of claim 28 feature, see claim 10 rejection.

Art Unit: 2192

a column span specifying a first dimension, and a row span specifying a second dimension for each of the one or more objects.

Conclusion

The following summarizes the status of the claims:

35 USC § 102 rejection: Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 19-21, 23-25, and 27

35 USC § 103 rejection: Claims 4, 8, 10, 12, 16, 18, 22, 26, 28

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Ching Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-3693. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Ching Chow

Examiner

Art Unit 2192

January 3, 2006

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER